Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 137 (1977) C11-C15 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

Preliminary communication

BIS-ARYLDIAZO COMPLEXES OF MANGANESE

BARRY L. HAYMORE

Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47401 (U.S.A.) (Received April 19th, 1977)

Summary

The first bis-aryldiazo complexes containing manganese of the type MnX- $(N_2Ph)_2(PPh_3)_2$ and Mn(CO) $(N_2Ph)_2(PPh_3)_2$ ⁺PF₆⁻ have been prepared from Mn(CO)₂ $(N_2Ph)(PPh_3)_2$ (X = Cl, Br, NCO). In addition, the mixed aryldiazonitrosyl analogues and the dinitrosyl analogues have been prepared in order to compare their spectroscopic properties with the bis-aryldiazo complexes. The preparation of the rhenium complex Re(CO)₂ $(N_2Ph)(PPh_3)_2$ is also reported.

In order to study the chemistry of the aryldiazo ligand bound to transition metals, we have prepared several stable bis-aryldiazo complexes of manganese in high yield according to the outlined reaction scheme (see Fig. 1). To date, only two bis-aryldiazo complexes have been mentioned in the literature [1], RuCl- $(N_2Ar)_2(PPh_3)_2^+PF_6^-$ and $(C_5H_5)Mo(N_2Ph)_2(PPh_3)^+BF_4^-$.

The starting material [2] $MnH(CO)_3(PPh_3)_2$, which was prepared by a new, convenient high-yield method, reacts with benzenediazonium salts to form stable *cis*-phenyldiazene complexes which in turn undergo deprotonation and decarbonylation in the presence of base to form orange crystals of Mn(CO)₂(N₂Ph)- $(PPh_3)_2$ (II) in good yield. This complex can be prepared less conveniently from $Mn_2(CO)_8(N_2Ph_2)_2$ which in turn is prepared from the reaction of $MnBr(CO)_5$ with $PhN=NSiMe_3$ over a period of six days [3]. The analogous nitrosyl starting material, $Mn(CO)_2(NO)(PPh_3)_2$ (I), was prepared by a modification of the literature method [4]. Both I and II undergo successive carbonyl displacement reactions in the presence of arenediazonium, nitrosonium and halide ions to yield a series of dinitrosyl, aryldiazonitrosyl and bis-aryldiazo complexes (see Table I). Brown colored compounds of the type $MnX(NO)_2(PPh_3)_2$ have been prepared previously by the action of NO on $MnX(CO)_3(PPh_3)_2$ [4]. Chromatographic purification of the bromide prepared by this method yields an orange product identical to ours and also a dark brown impurity. Spectroscopic data for the fivecoordinate nitrosyl and aryldiazo complexes are all consistent with trigonal bipyramidal geometries about the metal, axial phosphine ligands and singly bent

INFR	ARED SPECTRAL DATA FOR MAN	GANESE COMPLEXES (c)	u_1)	
Comp	ound a	v(CO)	р(NO)	
	MnBr(CO) ₃ (PPh ₃) ₂ MnH(CO) ₃ (PPh ₃) ₂ ^c	1919, 1951, 2040 1894, 1908		
ΧV	Mn(CO) ₃ (HN ₂ Ph)(PPh ₅) ₂ ^T PF ₆ ^{+ c}	1945, 1980, 2060		
	Mn ₂ (CO) ₈ (PPh ₃) ₂	1900, 1914, 1936 1954, 1978, 1986, 2060		
	Mn ₃ (CO) ₆ (PPh ₃) ₃ *	1956, 1982		
	Mn(CO) ₃ (NO)(PPh ₃)	1916, 1966, 2035	1703	
¥	Mn(CO) ₂ (NO)(PPh ₃) ₂	1857, 1943	1665	
I	Mn(CO) ₃ (N ₂ Ph)(PPh,)	1849, 1925		1476, 1543, 1612
III	Mn(CO)(NO), (PPh,), +PFe-*	2065	1738, 1778	
ž	Mn(CO)(NO)(N,Ph)(PPh,),*PF,**	2035	1763	1677, 1601
2	Mn(CO)(N ₂ Ph) ₃ (PPh ₃) ₂ ⁺ PF ₆ ⁻⁺	2015		1574, 1668, 1709
۲ı	MnCl(NO), (PPh,),		1659, 1703	_
ΠΛ	MnBr(NO), (PPh,),		1668, 1702	
UIIA	Mn(NCO)(NO) ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	2195, 1334 ^d	1656, 1698	
X	MnCl(NO)(N, Ph)(PPh,),		1676	1476, 1564, 1630
×	$MnBr(NO)(N_3Ph)(PPh_3)_2$		1677	1476, 1565, 1631
XI	Mn(NCO)(NO)(N ₂ Ph)(PPh ₃) ₂	2225, 1432 ^d	1680	1476, 1560, 1627
ЯΙ	MnCl(N ₂ Ph) ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂			1471, 1542, 1569, 1611, 1630
ШX	MnBr(N ₂ Ph) ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂			1471, 1543, 1570, 1607, 1632
XIV	Mn(NCO)(N ₃ Ph) ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	2225, 1438 ^d		1471, 1540, 1570, 1612, 1629
" Sper pheny Pas(N	cta measured in Fluorolube mulls (no 1 vibrational modes is usually observei CO). ⁶ The N—N mode is not visible i	designation) or in CH ₂ Cl ₂ d. ^C The third C–O stretch n the infrared spectrum.	solution (*). Ing vibration i	^b Vertfied by ¹⁵ N isotople substitution. Resonance interaction of $\nu(NN)$ with a not observed; $\nu(MnH)$ 1827 cm ⁻¹ . ^d These are values for $\nu_{g}(NCO)$ and

TABLE 1



Fig. 1. Synthetic scheme for aryldiazo complexes of manganese. All reactions were carried out at 25° C under a nitrogen atmosphere except as noted. Acceptable C, H and N elemental analyses have been obtained for all complexes. PPN = Ph₃PNPPh₃; X = Cl, Br, NCO; THF = tetrahydrofuran; Ar = aryl. Reactions a performed in a PPh₃ melt (no solvent) at 150° C.

aryldiazo ligands [5]. The isoelectronic compounds $Fe(CO)_2(N_2Ph)(PPh_3)_2^+$ and $OsH(CO)(N_2Ph)(PPh_3)_2$ have similar structures [6].



The comparison of C—O stretching frequencies in 1 and 11 and also in 111, 1V and V reaffirm the previously observed trends that the N₂Ph ligand is a very good π -acceptor ligand, but not quite as good as NO. Although the C—O stretching frequencies are much more sensitive to the substitution of N₂Ph for NO, the N—O stretching frequencies also show small but consistent decreases when NO is replaced by N₂Ph. Thus $\nu(NO)$ for III (1758 cm⁻¹, average) is higher than that for IV (1753 cm⁻¹), and $\nu(NO)$ for VII (1680 cm⁻¹) is higher than that for X (1677 cm⁻¹). In the mixed nitrosyl-aryldiazo complexes (IV, IX, X, XI), the N-O and N-N stretching vibrations seem to be weakly coupled, thus increasing the observed $\nu(NO)$ and decreasing the observed $\nu(NN)$ by 2-5 cm⁻¹.

The N—N stretching frequencies of the aryldiazo complexes are more difficult to obtain from the infrared spectra owing to the vibrational resonance interactions with one or two weak phenyl vibrational modes located near 1600 and 1475 cm⁻¹. Thus, XIII appears to have six interacting vibrational modes, and five bands are seen to shift upon ¹⁵N substitution. Solution spectra are essentially the same as mull spectra indicating the absence of solid state splitting. With judicious choice of multiple isotopic labels (¹⁵N and ²H), however, the decoupled values of ν (NN) have been determined, and they follow the same trends as do the nitrosyl stretching frequencies noted above. The N—N stretching frequency for IV (1689 cm⁻¹) is higher than that for V (1686 cm⁻¹, average of 1666 and 1706), and ν (NN) for X (1598 cm⁻¹) is higher than that for XII (1578 cm⁻¹, average of 1555 and 1601): It is noteworthy that ν (NN) is consistently lower than ν (NO) by 60—80 cm⁻¹ in analogous aryldiazo and nitrosyl complexes and also in mixed aryldiazo-nitrosyl complexes.

The orange-brown Re analogue of II, $\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{CO})_2(\operatorname{N_2Ph})(\operatorname{PPh_3})_2$, has also been prepared in a manner similar to that for the Mn complex; $\operatorname{ReH}(\operatorname{CO})_2(\operatorname{PPh_3})_3$ was used as the starting material instead of the bis-phosphine tricarbonyl complex owing to the greater reluctance of the Re complexes to loose CO. The infrared spectrum of the Re aryldiazo complex shows C—O stretching frequencies (1848, 1920 cm⁻¹) and N—N stretching frequencies (1471, 1538, 1604 cm⁻¹) near those belonging to the Mn analogue but shifted by about 5 cm⁻¹ to lower energy. The decoupled value of the $\nu(\operatorname{NN})$ is 1536 cm⁻¹ and is the lowest yet recorded for a singly bent aryldiazo ligand (probable ligand geometry assumed).

Earlier two groups of workers using $Mn(CO)_5^-$ and benzenediazonium salts failed to generate the expected aryldiazo complexes, even at low temperature and in the presence of phosphine ligands [7]. It appears, however, that once the diazo group is attached to the metal, the resulting mono- and bis-aryldiazo complexes of manganese are more stable than had been expected. The aryldiazo complexes of manganese and rhenium are useful synthetic intermediates and readily undergo a number of reactions similar to aryldiazo complexes of Ru and Os [8].

Acknowledgement

Acknowledgement is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for partial support of this research.

References

J.A. McCleverty, D. Seddon and R.N. Whitely, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, (1975) 839; W.E. Carroll, M.E. Deane and F.J. Lalor, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, (1974) 1837.

² For other preparations see the following: W. Hieber, M. Hofler and J. Muschi, Chem. Ber., 98 (1965) 311; B.L. Booth and R.N. Haszeldine, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1966) 157; R. Ugo and F. Bonati, J. Organometal. Chem., 8 (1967) 189.

- 3 E.W. Abel, C.A. Burton, M.R. Churchill and K.G. Lin, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1974) 268.
- 4 W. Hieber and H. Tengler, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 318 (1962) 136.

.

- 5 M. Laing, R. Reimann and E. Singleton, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett., 10 (1974) 557.
- 6 B.L. Haymore and J.A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 14 (1975) 1369; M. Cowie, B.L. Haymore and J.A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 14 (1975) 2617.
- 7 R.B. King and M.B. Bisnette, Inorg. Chem., 5 (1966) 300; W.E. Carroll and F.J. Lalor, J. Organometal. Chem., 54 (1973) C37.
- 8 B.L. Haymore and J.A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 14 (1975) 2784; S. Cenini, F. Porta and M. Pizzotti, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 20 (1976) 119.

•

.